



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/830,229

04/22/2004

Masayoshi Umeda

42530-7000

7764

21611

7590

05/26/2009

SNELL & WILMER LLP (OC)

600 ANTON BOULEVARD

SUITE 1400

COSTA MESA, CA 92626

EXAMINER

KUMAR, KALYANAVENKA K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3653

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/26/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/830,229

Applicant(s)

UMEDA, MASAYOSHI

ExaminerKALYANA VENKATESHWAR
E KUMAR**Art Unit**

3653

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kalyan Kumar.(3) Joe Price.(2) Patrick Mackey.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 9-11 and 27.

Identification of prior art discussed: Furukawa (USP 6,086,472), Stoltz (USP 6,059,650), DeVries et al (US Pub 2004/0134757 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the prior art and how it applies to the amended claims. Further discussed the USC 112 rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Patrick H. Mackey/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3653